

# ARL

## Effect of frequency offset on orthogonality of loosely synchronous codes

Gunjan Verma, Fikadu Dagefu, Brian Sadler, Predrag Spasojevic\*

Wincomm-Europe 2017

May 17, 2017

UNCLASSIFIED



U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

#### **Motivation**



- Reliable, ad hoc, low-power, multi-user communication in cluttered environments among near-ground agents
  - Synchronization challenges: intermittent GPS access, frequency offset, no power control; tight time/frequency synchronization particularly difficult to achieve with software-defined radios
  - Solution: Loosely synchronous (LS) codes; enable minimal multiple access interference (MAI) even in weakly sychronized regimes and/or power mismatch
- Exploit various frequency bands (e.g., low VHF, UHF) as part of a multi-wavelength hybrid system for robust low power communications in Army-relevant scenarios
  - Near-ground low frequency channels provide superior penetration, reduced multipath, and much smaller frequency offsets than at microwave
  - Recent advance in miniature antennas enable practicality



## **Background on LS codes**



- Typical DS-CDMA codes have non-zero (auto/cross) correlation at nonzero lag
  - Gold, Kasami, Walsh

U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

- Challenges: ISI/MAI limited and near/far problem
  - Conventional solution: power-control, interference cancellation, multi-user detectors (MUD);
    - Infrastructure-dependent; costly/power hungry
- Exist codes with a zero correlation zone (ZCZ)
  - off-peak aperiodic correlation = 0
  - Within ZCZ: zero ISI and zero MAI ⇒ single-user-like communications performance without MUD



Codes with ZCZ

**A**RĹ

Code i

Code j at -1 chip lag

Code k at +2 chip lag

- ZCZ: A set of lags {-L, -L+1, ..., L-1, L} for which the correlation is exactly zero. For autocorrelation, 0 ∉ ZCZ.
- Define: C = a set of codes having a ZCZ. |L|=max value in ZCZ. M = code length. |C|= size of code family.
- A bound from [1] establishes that for any C:
  - $|C| * (|L|+1) \le M$
  - I.e., for fixed M, number of codes with ZCZ is limited

[1] P. Z. Fan, "Spreading sequence design and theoretical limits for quasisynchronous CDMA systems," EURASIP J. Wireless Comm. and Networking, vol. 2004, no. 1, pp. 19–31, 2004.



### Codes with ZCZ



- For ad hoc networks, assume only intermittent time synchrony
  - E.g., nodes synchronize approximately every 10 s
  - Exists on order of 10 μs time uncertainty among nodes
    - Clock drift (1  $\mu$ s / s) + processing delay of sync signal
- An example: BW= 1.25 MHz, 10 µs ⇒ |L|=13 for a single-carrier system
  - For |C|=16, code length M > 200
  - Number of codes |C|=4, for M=64



#### Codes with ZCZ



## **Challenges:**

Problem 1: Large |L| forces one to

U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

- increase code length M (for |C|=16, M > 200)
- decrease number of codes |C| (for M=64, |C| = 4)
- Problem 2: Extending the codes in time ⇒ more susceptible to orthogonality loss due to frequency offset

 $\sum x(n) \cdot y(n+l) = 0$ 

Freq offset

∑x(n)·y(n+l)e<sup>j· ∆w· (n+l)</sup>≠0

## Approach and analysis:

- Use multiple carriers
  - E.g., with BW ≅1.25 MHz, 8 subcarriers, one can use a ZCZ with
    - |L|=3 chips which covers ≅ 20 µs
  - −  $|L|=3 \Rightarrow LS$  code family with length 67 codes can support 16 users
- The effect of frequency offset is investigated for various families of codes



#### **Construction of LS Codes**



General construction technique depends on choice of

- 1. Complementary sequences / mates
  - $\rightarrow$  Form code building blocks
  - $\rightarrow$  Ensure orthogonality at non-zero lags within ZCZ
- 2. Hadamard matrix
  - $\rightarrow$  Ensures orthogonality at zero lag
- 3. Zero gaps
  - a. Prevent intersymbol interference
  - b. Prevent overlap between mates

[2] S. Stanczak et Al. . Are LAS-codes a miracle?. in Global Telecommunications Conference, 2001. GLOBECOM'01. IEEE (Vol. 1, pp. 589-593). IEEE.







Example of 4 code ZCZ family with ZCZ duration=z

The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

U.S.ARM







- The above procedure is parameterized by:
  - Choice of complementary sequence (CS)
  - Method of interleaving complementary sequences
  - Choice of Hadamard matrix
- Each choice results in different instances of a ZCZ code family
- Do these instances differ in terms of the frequency offset induced orthogonality loss?



Notations



$$\mathbf{R}_{k,l} = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} \mathbf{c}_k(n) \ \mathbf{c}_l^*(n) e^{-j\omega n}$$

 The cross-correlation between codes c<sub>k</sub> and c<sub>l</sub> in the presence of frequency offset w. Codes are of length L.

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}, \omega, q) \triangleq \left(\frac{1}{2\binom{K}{2}} \sum_{k} \sum_{l, l \neq k} |\mathbf{R}_{k, l}|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

 The "q-norm" cross-correlation, averaged across all codes in set S, at frequency offset w. Indicies k and I range over all users in the system and K is the total number of users.







u.s. army RDECON

Theorem 1: Suppose that

- 1. Code elements are of constant modulus
- 2. Number of users equals code length
- 3. On average, all active users have same transmit power
- $\rightarrow$  Then,  $\rho(S,w,2)$  ("2-norm") is *independent* of code choice S

Average MUI for Hadamard codes of various lengths shown in legend. X-axis: normalized frequency offset (frequency times chip time). Y axis: 10  $\log_{10}(\rho(S, W=2\pi f, 2)^2 / L^2)$ , which is the average MUI (dB) presented by a single interferer on a link of interest. In absence of frequency offset, MUI (dB) is - $\infty$ .



### **ZCZ Code Simulation Study**



- Randomly generate 1000 Hadamard matrices H
- For each H and each valid CS interleaving scheme C
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Construct the ZCZ code family using H and C  $\,$ 
    - 16 total codes

RDECOM®

- each of length 64+3
- For each fixed frequency offset
  - Find all pair-wise cross correlations at all shifts within the ZCZ
  - Compute  $\rho(S, w, 1)$ ,  $\rho(S, w, 2)$ , and  $\rho(S, w, \infty)$

For each norm, return the best and worst found families





U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

- ZCZ codes are not constant modulus, so Theorem 1 does not apply directly
- However, for small z, most elements are non-zero, so we expect it to approximately hold

Average MUI for best and worst LS codes of length 64+3.. X-axis: normalized frequency offset (frequency times chip time). Y axis: 20 log10(  $\rho$ (S,W=2 $\pi$ f,2) / L) presented by a single interferer on a link of interest, normalized by code energy. Best and worst curves separated by 0.8 dB.

#### The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

U.S.ARM

#### Orthogonality loss due to frequency offset (ZCZ codes)



U.S. ARMY RDECOM®

Y axis: 20  $\log_{10}(\rho(S,w=2\pi f,1) / L)$  $\rho(S,w,1)$ : at  $\log_{10}(f)=-3$ , difference of ~3.7 dB  $\rho(S,w,\rho(S,w,\infty)$ : at  $\log_{10}(f)=-3$ , difference of ~5.6 dB  $\rho(S,w,1)$ : at  $\log_{10}(f)=-3$ , difference of ~1.2 dB



#### Conclusions



- L2 norm: all discovered families are nearly identical
  - useful for Gaussian interference process, i.e., when there are a large numbers of users
  - In this case, CLT can be invoked, and L2 norm captures variance
- For small numbers of users (e.g. in LS codes), other norms may be more relevant
  - CLT cannot be invoked
  - Power of interferers may follow other laws, e.g. exponential
- L1 norm: nearly 4 dB difference
- L-∞ norm: nearly 6 dB difference
  - captures worst-case interference a single interferer can provide



## Conclusions (Cont'd)



- We considered only real-valued complementary sequences, real-valued Hadamard matrices, and fully loaded systems (number of users = code length)
  - For LS codes, using complex complementary sequences/Hadamard matrices may offer advantages
- For Hadamard codes, if number of users < code length, then Theorem 1 does not hold
  - Good news: some codes are better than others
  - Essentially, one trades off a smaller number of users for codes with better performance with respect to ρ(S,w,2)





BACKUP

#### The Nation's Premier Laboratory for Land Forces

UNCLASSIFIED



#### **Motivation**

- Tactical mobile ad hoc network performance limited by adverse propagation & lack of infrastructure
- Exploit various frequency bands (e.g., low VHF, UHF) as part of a multi-wavelength hybrid system for robust low power communications in Army relevant scenarios
  - Near-ground low frequency channels provide superior penetration and reduced multipath
  - Recent advance in miniature antennas and channels studies

Potential for persistent low power, low complexity Multi-user communications and Networking in austere infrastructure poor environments











100 MHz

**Conventional vs. Miniature antennas** 

